



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/464,866  | 12/16/1999  | RICHARD BRYAN SAGAR  | PHA-23.884          | 8189             |
| 7   | 10/23/2002  |                      |                     |                  |
| US PHILIPS CORPORATION<br>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT<br>580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M |                  |
| TARRYTOWN   | N, NY 10591 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2684                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

146

| 1   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | <del>-</del> /V   |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/464,866  | SAGAR, RICHARD  | BRYAN   |  |  |
| ,,  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |  |  |
|   | Stephen M. D'Agosta   | 2684  |   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence addi  | ess   |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which  | ation. A proper reply<br>n places the applicat  | to a<br>tion in   |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |   |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final of | on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> <li>2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>   | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   | noo NOTE bolow):  |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a)          \infty  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)          \infty \text{ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li> </ul>  |   |   |   |  |  |
|   | •   | rially reducing or sin  | nnlifving the   |  |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the<br>issues for appeal; and/or   |   |   |   |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |   |   |   |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed   | amendment   |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  |   | dered but does NO   | Γ place the   |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t  | o issues which were   | enewly  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |   |   | nd an   |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .  |   |   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a) approved or b) disapp  | roved by the Examir   | ner.  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer  | nt(s)( PTO-1449)  | ·   |   |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added material regarding "determination whether the information is more recent than a copy stored on the server" and "updating the copy with the uploaded information if it is more recent" AND "associating the data with a particular user" and "determining a format required by the particular user" requires a new search..

Mall